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Abstract: Ectoparasites represent a major source of livestock productivity loss. This study assessed different types as well as the predilection sites of ectoparasites on slaughtered cattle at Keffi abattoir. The fleas and lice were collected by combing the skin of the animal, while the ticks were carefully picked using a forceps. The ectoparasites found were preserved in 10% formalin in a sample tube and identified using standard techniques. A total of 300 cattle were surveyed, of which 202 (67.33%) were infested with varieties of ectoparasites while 98 (32.67%) were not infested. Nonetheless, 139 (68.81%) of the cattle were infested with ticks of which 95 (47.03%) represents Rhipicephalus spp. while only 44 (21.78%) represents Amblyomma spp., while about one-fifth, 57 (28.22%) of the infestation were caused by fleas; of which 39 (19.31%) were of the Ctenocephalides canis while 18 (8.91%) were of the Ctenocephalides felis. However, 6 (2.97%) of the infestation were due to Linognathus vituli specie of louse. Majority of the infestation were at the thigh, abdomen, neck and back. Using $X^2$ analysis, the findings showed that there was a statistical significant difference between the prevalence of the ectoparasites by species, while no significant association was found with respect to the number of cattle infested by sex of the cattle surveyed and also by locations of survey. This study revealed a higher prevalence of ectoparasites of cattle in Keffi metropolis; infestation was found to be predominantly by two tick species: Amblyomma spp. and Rhipicephalus spp. Thus cattle should be checked and treated regularly for ectoparasites as infestation may affect their health, productivity as well as the economic value of these animals.
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1. Introduction

Parasitic diseases of livestock significantly impact negatively on the animal’s wellbeing and productivity. A parasite is therefore a small organism that feeds and nourishes on or in and at the expense of a larger organism, called the host [1] [2]. By effect, the presence of a parasite may be detrimental, indifferent or beneficial to the host. Parasites are grouped according to location as ectoparasites and endoparasites. Ectoparasite include those organisms (e.g. ticks, lice, mites, flies) living on the surface of the host where they derive food, shelter and other basic needs to survive [3]. Conversely, endoparasites are those organisms (e.g. protozoa, helminths) that live inside the body of the host [4]. Ectoparasites are widespread, often highly damaging and in most cases cannot be permanently eradicated. Ectoparasites are of two types namely: facultative and obligate ectoparasites. Facultative ectoparasites exhibit both parasitic and non-parasitic mode of living, hence do not absolutely depend on parasitic way of life. They are capable of behaving as parasites only if they are placed on a host. Obligate ectoparasitids on the other hand are completely dependent on the host during a segment of their life cycle [5]. In addition to their direct effect on the host, ectoparasites indirectly release pathogens thereby acting as vectors of diseases [6].

Ectoparasites are also thought to play a key role in reducing the quality of hides by injection of the animal skin [7]. Ectoparasitism represents a major obstacle to development and utilization of animal resources alongside huge livestock production losses [4] [8]. It is noteworthy that
while the parasites enjoy shelter and nourishment, the host in turn receives secretion, excretion as well as trauma [9] [10]. Moreover, some of the substances secreted by the parasites were shown to be antigenic and are therefore capable of eliciting allergic and immune reactions [11]. Hence the negative impact of ectoparasites in cattle could be overwhelming. This translates to lose of weight, restlessness, growth retardation, weakness and anaemia due to excessive sucking of blood from the host by the parasites [12]. More so, some ectoparasites represents good sources of zoonotic parasites to man. Thus they have the potential to transmit viral, fungal, bacterial, and protozoan diseases which in turn results into mortality in severe cases [13] [14].

In Nigeria, cattle constitute the main source of animal protein [11]. Furthermore, the skin derived from cattle, goats and sheep are frequently used for the manufacture of leather in Europe and other part of the world [15]. Research has shown that before the oil boom years, hides and skins constituted a major foreign exchange of Nigeria. In addition, by-products such as hide and skin, blood, hoofs, horns and bones are often converted into various finished products. Hide and skin have been used for making shoes, leather bags, etc [5]. Interestingly, waste products in form of dung can be distributed over farmland as organic (or farm yard) manure to keep the soil at a satisfactory physicochemical conditions and maintain its nutrients supply at a desirable level. It is also important to state that, of the varieties of ectoparasites, ticks stand out as the most notorous threat due to severe irritation, allergy and toxiosis [16]; they further identified ticks as the major causes of low productivity and mortality in their host, worse still, they serve as reservoirs and vectors for a number of protozoa, viruses, rickettisia and bacteria; thus capable of transmitting diseases viz. babesiosis, theileriosis, anaplasmosis and tick-borne relapsing fever.

Consequently, for the fact that cattle produce the greatest percentage of the bulk of animal protein consumed by the over 180 million population of Nigeria, a study of ectoparasites and pests is critical to enhance their control and improve the quality of cattle industry in Nigeria.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

This study was conducted in Keefi local Government Area of Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Keefi is located in the middle zone of Nigeria and lies between latitude 08°59'55"North and longitude of 52°25'East with area of 137km² [17]. Keefi has two constituencies Keefi East and Keefi west, it has ten geopolitical wards with a population of 92,664 at the 2006 census [18]. The area is located with tropical sub-humid climate belt. It is made up of two seasons namely: Rainy season and dry season. The rainy seasons last for about seven months usually from April to October with a mean annual rainfall of about 1000mm–1600mm which peaks around July to August. Temperature here generally ranges from 28°C–39°C. Relative humidity increases during the rainy season with a record of about 94% in August and drop to 5% in harrmannatt period and vegetation pattern of the area is made up of grasses, shrubs and isolated big trees a typical example of wood land savannah vegetation belt of Nigeria [18].

2.2. Method of Collection

The cattle’s skin were examined thoroughly by close inspection and parting the hairs against their natural direction for the direction of ectoparasites. The ectoparasite (ticks) was collected by forceful detachment as earlier described by [19], while fleas and lice were collected by combing the skin of the animal before collection accordingly. Thereafter, ectoparasites obtained were preserved in 10% formalin in a sample tube. The samples were collected from abattoir at Tsobon Kasuwa (Old Market) within Keefi Metropolis, Keefi Local Government Area of Nassarawa State, Nigeria.

2.3. Identification of Samples

Morphological characterization and identification of ticks were made by using the standard manual guide of [7] and observation was carried out using magnifying hand lens and compound microscopes. Lice and Fleas samples were examined directly under a compound microscope as described by a standard manual of [20].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

The data collected were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 22.0. Chi-square test was used to determine significance difference between different types of ectoparasites and also the predilection sites of infestation.

3. Results

This research examined 300 cattle from June, 2017 to August, 2017. Of the 300(100%) cattle surveyed, 202 (67.33%) were infested with varieties of ectoparasites while 98 (32.67%) were not (Table 1). There is no significance difference in the prevalence of ectoparasites on cattle (P>0.05).

Table 2 shows that majority 139 (68.81%) of the cattle were infested with ticks of which 95 (47.03%) represents Rhipicephalus spp. while only 44 (21.78%) represents Amblyomma spp. More than one-fifth 57 (28.22%) of the infestation were caused by fleas; whereby 39 (19.31%) were of the Linognathus vituli specie of lice (Table 2). There is a significant difference in the prevalence of ectoparasites on cattle (P>0.05).

As indicated in table 3, majority of the cattle examined were males 237 (79%) while only 73 (21.0%) were females. There was no significance difference between the male and female cattle sampled (P<0.05).

In table 4, the result of sex-based infestation is shown. About 122(60.40%) of the 237(79.0%) bulls examined were
infested with various varieties of the ectoparasites, while 80 (39.60%) of the 73(21.0%) cows examined were also infested with different varieties of the ectoparasites. There was no significance difference between the male and female cattle sampled.

Table 5 shows the location or site of infestation by different ectoparasites. Majority of the infestation were to the thigh, abdomen, neck and back. There was no significance difference between the ectoparasite based on location of infestation.

4. Discussion

This study assessed varieties of ectoparasites prevalent on slaughtered cattle in Keffi abattoir within the period of June, 2017 to August, 2017. This study revealed that 67.33% of the cattle were infested with the varieties of the ectoparasites examined. This is higher than the 44.4% reported by [21] in Dodoru market, Kebbi State, Nigeria and 47.0% asserted by [22] in Central Ethiopia. Why this discrepancy existed is not known, nonetheless, it is appropriate to state that seasonal variations and possibly environmental factors may have played important role in the distribution of these ectoparasites investigated.

Similarly, the study showed higher prevalence rate when compared with the work of [8] who reported prevalence rate of 27.3% in Bench Maji zone, Southwestern Ethiopia. More so, in this report, tick infestation was the outstanding (68.81%) followed by fleas (28.22%) and then lice (2.97%). This concur with the findings that ticks were the most prevalent ectoparasites of livestock [6] [8] [23]. The higher prevalence of ticks in the study area could be attributed to the fact that tick infestation tends to be higher during the wet season under which the study was conducted compared to dry season [1].

Furthermore, the prevalence of ticks was shown to be higher while lice were shown to be lower this is comparable to the findings of [8].

Table 1. Prevalence of cattle infested with ectoparasites in Keffi abattoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number Sampled</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle infested</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>67.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattle not infested</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>32.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Prevalence of ectoparasites of cattle in Keffi abattoir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ectoparasites</th>
<th>No. of cattle with ectoparasites (%)</th>
<th>No. of ectoparasites collected (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ticks</td>
<td>Rhipicephalus spp. 70(34.65)</td>
<td>95(47.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amblyomma spp. 69(34.16)</td>
<td>44(21.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleas</td>
<td>Ctenocephalides felis 29(14.36)</td>
<td>18(8.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ctenocephalides canis 28(13.86)</td>
<td>39(19.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lice Linognathus vituli 62(9.7)</td>
<td>62(9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>202(100.0)</td>
<td>202(100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Number of animals examined based on sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number Sampled</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>79.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Infestation of cattle based on sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Number Examined (%)</th>
<th>Ectoparasites</th>
<th>Ticks</th>
<th>Fleas</th>
<th>Lice</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>237(79.0)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>60.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>73(21.0)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>300(100.0)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Prevalence of ectoparasites based on site/location of infestation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ectoparasites</th>
<th>Predilection sites</th>
<th>No Examined</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ticks</td>
<td>Thigh, abdomen, neck, back</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>68.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleas</td>
<td>Thigh, abdomen, neck, tail</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>28.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lice</td>
<td>Abdomen, ear, back, trunk</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>202</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ X^2 = 1.7381 \] \[ P = 0.2243 \]
However, [5] revealed a higher prevalence of ticks (73.3%) relative to the findings of this study. Contrary to the findings of this research, [8] indicated prevalence rate of mite to be 3.4%. It is important to stress that mites are a special group of ectoparasites; their presence of whom is largely dependent on the ideal macro and micro environment (high temperature, humidity and sunlight) which favors their breeding and multiplication in the study area.

Furthermore, of the different species of tick investigated *Rhipicephalus* spp. (Formally known as *Boophilus* spp.) was shown to be higher (47.03%) than the *Amblyomma* spp. (21.78%). This is in agreement with previous research such as [8] who asserted that *Rhipicephalus* spp. is the predominant cause of tick infestation in cattle. Reference [3] also indicated a lower prevalence of *Rhipicephalus* spp. (36.89%). This trend is strongly supported by the assertion that the dominance of *Boophilus* (*Rhipicephalus* spp.) was because of the native distribution of tick on distribution of host species and climate condition [24]. Furthermore, chi-square test showed no statistical differences in the occurrence of ectoparasites of cattle in the study area.

In addition, this study found prevalence rate of lice to be 2.97%. This is far below the 9.5% reported by [14] and 10.4% reported by [8]. This disparity could be attributed to differences in the agro-ecology, climatic condition and sample size used in the study area. Similarly, this research found the prevalence of fleas to be 28.22%. This is far below the 35.7% reported by [25] in Gondar town, Ethiopia. Moreover, 79.7% of the males examined were infested with the varieties of ectoparasites compared to the females (20.3%). This is not consistent with the findings of [8] who reported the prevalence rate of ectoparasites of male and female cattle to be 25.0% and 39.1% respectively. Further research is however recommended to assess the prevalence of ectoparasites on cattle based on sex.

On the basis of site or location of infestation, this present study demonstrates that majority of the infestation is on the thigh, abdomen and neck. This is in line with the findings of prior research. For instance, [25] reported that thigh/abdomen were more likely to be the most preferred locations for ectoparasites infestation. Similarly, [5] observed ectoparasites in all parts of the cattle notably; trunk, ears, tail, perineum. The propellant activity of the tail may serve to ward off harbored parasites thus it is not so much a favorable site of infestation.

### 5. Conclusion and Recommendation

This study revealed a higher prevalence of ectoparasites of cattle in Keffi metropolis; infestation was found to be predominantly by two main tick species: *Amylymonia* spp. and *Rhipicephalus* spp. Two fleas species, i.e. *Ctenocephalides felis*, *Ctenocephalides canis*, one louse species *Linognathus vituli*. Common predilection sites of infestation were found to be the thigh and abdomen of the cattle. More so, not much is known about ectoparasites infestation in Keffi metropolis; thus further study is recommended to investigate the extent of damages caused by these ectoparasites and to establish control measures. Based on the findings of this study, the following are recommended: cattle should be regularly checked and treated for ectoparasites, as infestation may affect their health, productivity and economic value; treatment should be focus on predilection sites of the host’s body infested by ectoparasites such as thigh, abdomen and back; and apart from the control of the parasite on the body of the host, areas where host graze or sleep should also be considered for treatment for effective control of the parasites.
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